	<ul> <li>The Control of the Co</li></ul>					
	KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney	Jan 29 '07	FILE	*		
2	MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549) Chief, Criminal Division	RIC U.S. for the wife	JAN 3 0 20	00		
4	MATTHEW A. PARRELLA (NYSBN 204 Assistant United States Attorney	0.8. for the will no. 6:55)	CLERK US SAN JOSE	T (		
6 7 8	150 Almaden Blvd., Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5042 FAX: (408)535-5066 matthew.parrella@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff					
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION					
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) No. CR-06-00424 JW				
13	Plaintiff,	) ) )STIDIII ATION CONTINU	HMC OT ATHE			
14 15	v.					
	LAN LEE, a/k/a Lan Li, and YUEFEI GE, Defendants.	) } }				
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Kevin V. Ryan, United					
20						
21	United States of America, and Thomas J. Nolan, Esq. and John Williams, Esq., counsel for					
22	defendants, that the status date currently scheduled for February 5, 2007, be vacated and					
23	continued to April 2, 2007, or to a date thereafter at the convenience of the Court.					
24	This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:					
25	1. Discovery is continuing. The defendants have received 8 hard drives which are					
26	clones of the computers searched during the investigation. In addition, the defendants have made					
27 28	STIPULATION CONTINUING STATUS DATE, EXCLUDING TIME, AND [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER					

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

STIPULATION CONTINUING STATUS DATE, EXCLUDING TIME, AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

1	further requests to which the government is in the	process of responding.	A complete review of			
2	this evidence is necessary before any meaningful discussions on the indictment can proceed.					
3	2. Counsel for the defendants have spoken with the defendants and the defendants					
4	have no objections to the continuance sought herein.					
5	3. The defendants are not in custody.					
6	4. This continuance is sought to save t	he court's time and res	ources, to allow time			
7	for the Defendants and the Government to evaluate and analyze discovery information which wil					
8	have a bearing on future negotiations.					
9	5. Additionally, denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice, taking					
0	into account the exercise of due diligence.					
.1	6. The additional time requested by t	this stipulation is exclu	dable in computing the			
2	time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18,					
3	United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(1)(F), 3161(h)(7), and 3161(H)(8)(A), considering the					
4	factors under Title 18 United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(8)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).					
5						
6	DATED this $23$ day of $2007$ .					
7	U					
8	KEVIN V. RYAN United States Attorney					
9	Office States Attorney					
20						
	MATTHEW A. PARRELLA Assistant United States Attorney		DATE			
2	Assistant Omica States Attorney		,			
3	THOMAS J. NOLAN		23/ Jan/07			
4	Attorney for Defendant LEE		DATE			
25						
26	JOHN WILLIAMS Attorney for Defendant GE		DATE			
27	rationally for Defendant OE					
28	STIPULATION CONTINUING STATUS DATE, EXCLUDING TIME, AND [PROPOSED] ORDER					
	Tw					

1 Based upon the foregoing representations made by the parties by stipulated motion, and 2 good cause appearing therefor, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between April 10, 2006 and June 5, 2006 3 shall be excluded from the computation the period within which the trial must commence, for the reasons and based upon the statutory provisions set forth above, and for those reasons proffered 5 by the parties. The Court finds that the ends of justice outweigh the interests of the public and the parties in a speedier trial based upon the grounds set forth above. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the matter shall be removed from the Court's 8 calendar on February 5, 2007 and set for status on March 26, 2007, or a date thereafter at the convenience of the Court. 11 DATED: 1/30/2007 12 ames Whose 13 14 JAMES WARE United States District Judge 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

XCLUDING TIME, AND PROPE

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

USA et al,

Case Number: CR06-00424 JW

Plaintiff,

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

Lan Lee
UNDERSEAL et al.

-	_	4	
11	eten	M,	mt
v	efen	Шc	1111

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on January 30, 2007, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

John Lyle Williams Manchester, Williams & Seibert 125 S. Market Street Suite 1100 San Jose, CA 95113

Matthew A. Parrella US Attorney's Office 150 Almaden Blvd., Suite 900 San Jose, CA 95113

Thomas J. Nolan Nolan, Armstrong, & Barton LLP 600 University Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94301

Dated: January 30, 2007

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

By: Elizabeth C. Garcia, Deputy Clerk